

Communicating Climate Action Effectively

A Reporting Framework for Nations to Inform the Public

Jhalak Aggarwal, Shikha Bhasin, and Sumit Prasad

Issue Brief | June 2022



Image: Alamy

Summary

The enhanced transparency framework (ETF), formulated as part of the Paris Agreement at the 26th Conference of Parties (COP26), lays down the rules for all Parties to the Paris Agreement to regularly communicate, share, and review their climate efforts. The agreed guidelines demand reporting of extensive granular information and deeper analysis into different aspects, which necessitate specialist knowledge for understanding the presented data.

While it is essential to enhance transparency, **it is also important to communicate climate-related**

information to the larger public so as to enhance their understanding and engagement in climate actions. As the impact of climate change becomes evident, it is crucial to close the gap between knowledge and action amongst the public, thus stating the imperative need to communicate countries' climate actions in a simple, concise, and easy-to-understand manner.

With this in mind, we suggest that countries report on the proposed indicators in the executive summary of the biennial transparency report under ETF.

This would facilitate increasing the public understanding of the nation's climate progress. It allows the audience to ask an essential question: to what extent, if at all, is the nation performing and contributing to far-reaching climate action?

A. Methodology

To determine the proposed indicators, our analysis involved an extensive literature review to understand the key factors that define a nation's climate performance as well as indicate their progress across various aspects of climate action. The indicators are chosen based on their relatively high importance in measuring the countries' overall efforts in global climate actions and consistency with the current level of available information to calculate the data points.

We state that these indicators would allow benchmarking of countries' performance, will not place additional burden on nations', and could provide a snapshot of a country's effort. And we conclude by stressing that the disclosure on these indicators will lead to accountability, trust, and enhanced action.

1. Introduction

Transparency of climate actions is pivotal for multilateral climate governance. Informing other nations, civil society organisations, and the public about the progress and impact of domestic climate measures, strengthens the trust and enhances accountability in the international community. Though it is implicitly understood that transparency will help stimulate enhanced climate action and increased ambition, there has been limited effectiveness in its actual implementation (Deprez, Spencer, & Colombier, 2015).

The existing reporting arrangements are fairly technical in nature, which may limit non-experts and general audience from understanding what has been the Parties' climate progress. A substantial amount of financial and human resources has already been committed to establishing and institutionalising national systems to make visible what nations are doing (Weikmans & Gupta, 2021). Though the existing

Due to lack of technical expertise on reporting arrangements under UNFCCC, the public understanding on countries' climate performance remains limited.

transparency arrangements are extensive in sharing a fair amount of technical data, they have not been able to provide a clear picture of country's progress aligned with the science-based target of limiting the warming to 2 degree Celsius above pre-industrial temperature.² **The new enhanced transparency framework, established under the Paris Agreement, comes into effect in 2024, infusing the hope to increase the credibility and confidence that all Parties are doing their bit.**

Earlier at the COP26, one of the biggest wins was the completion of the 'Paris Rulebook' (a compilation of implementation guidelines for Parties to the Paris Agreement). The enhanced transparency framework³ (ETF), established under the Paris Agreement, builds on and enhances the existing Measurement, Reporting, and Verification (MRV) arrangements. Specifically, the Modalities, Procedures, and Guidelines (MPGs)⁴ supersede the existing MRV requirements and provide detailed guidance on reporting, and how the information will be reviewed. Parties are required to submit biennial transparency reports (BTR) and their climate information on national GHG inventory and progress in implementing and achieving NDCs which are subjected to a technical expert review (TER)⁵. With the ETF adopted and first reports due by 2024, countries are establishing the necessary institutional and technical arrangements to implement the ETF and meet the standards of this enhanced framework.

Even with such extensive arrangements in place, it becomes difficult for the non-experts to comprehend the information. Our aim is to provide a better understanding of what the nations are doing to deal with the most profound challenge of reducing the adverse effects of climate change. And establish the importance of enabling higher visibility of Parties' climate actions by communicating and sharing

1. Parties here are nations that have signed the legally binding Paris Agreement to reduce emissions.

2. The Paris Agreement commits the nations to limit the global average temperature rise to below 2 degree Celsius above pre-industrial levels and to aim for at least limiting it to 1.5 degree Celsius above pre-industrial levels.

3. The ETF, central to the implementation of the Paris Agreement, guides countries to report on the progress of climate measures undertaken to mitigate and adapt to climate change.

4. Decision 1/CP.24, para 39.

5. Technical Expert Review (TER) is a process to provide a comprehensive and technical assessment of a Party's implementation of its commitments.

knowledge to the general audience effectively, to facilitate informed participation and accountability. **This brief is a call-to-action to initiate and further the conversation around transparency in climate reporting for the general audience by offering a bird's eye view of true climate performance of nations, thus building mutual trust and confidence for greater climate action.**

The issues in the current transparency arrangements are explained in Section 2 that follows, and the importance of the indicators in showcasing the progress of climate actions is discussed in Section 3. In Section 4, the proposed indicators that would be useful to capture the Parties' range of climate actions and associated progress are listed, thus promoting the implementation of the Paris Agreement as well as strengthening mutual trust and confidence among the Parties. Finally, in Section 5, the Parties are encouraged to report on these indicators in the BTR due from 2024, offering an opportunity to transform climate reporting by shedding light on climate actions and making the general audience aware of the Parties' actions.

2. Challenges with the current transparency regime

The ETF demands more granular information from all countries than before. While the ETF is comprehensive, it is still unclear on how it would showcase a country's climate progress in quantifiable terms. This is because the rulebook has only created an elaborative reporting framework and review guidelines without realising their effectiveness in the first place. Since the disclosures are comprehensive and technically oriented, the general audience may not be able to encapsulate the climate performance of the Parties. Despite the urgency posed by climate change, the reported climate data has not led to a clear understanding of the country's true performance due to several challenges. Some of them are listed below.

- First, the current reporting arrangements require substantial technical information and capacity, which **does not facilitate direct benchmarking of**

the countries' performance internationally. In addition, the aggregation of technical information may not make much sense to the general audience as they lack technical knowledge and training, leading them to gain only limited information on Parties' climate actions.

- Second, the reporting obligations in the form of greenhouse gas (GHG) inventories, national inventory reports, biennial transparency reports, adaptation communications, and national communications provide extensive detail as climate reports. While these are important disclosures, it becomes difficult to relate to the climate achievements of countries as these **disclosures are qualitative or are based on broad assumptions.**⁶
- Third, there exist several **grey accounting areas** that support masking of the non-performers. These are in the form of inflated base year emissions, accounting of emission from the source instead of consumption, double counting of emission reductions, the definition of climate finance, accounting of the land use, land-use change, and forestry (LULUCF) sector, and other aspects.
- Fourth, climate reporting **does not relate climate achievements with the socio-economic parameters of a country**, which would showcase the country's contribution to climate progress and achievement in real terms.

The aforementioned reasons make it difficult to assess the often-presumed links between transparency, trust, accountability, action, and ambition. With this in mind, The Council has proposed a set of indicators that will act as a stepping stone to further transparency and provide an overview of the nation's progress in global climate action, allowing us to assess the Party's climate performance at the individual as well as at the aggregate level.

6. For instance, in the absence of an agreed operational definition of climate finance and a lack of common accounting and reporting methodologies for financial support, Parties can self-determine what is deemed as 'climate finance', creating fundamental challenges.

“



For the last several years, the UNFCCC transparency negotiations have focused on figuring out the details and the nitty gritty. But can we still see the forest for the trees? This issue brief presents a timely and

important assessment of the big picture. It argues that the Enhanced Transparency Framework fails to provide a simple and comprehensive overview of countries' climate action performance. By proposing a carefully-composed set of indicators to be included in the executive summary of countries' Biennial Transparency Reports, the authors propose a concrete and innovative way to improve the Enhanced Transparency Framework of the Paris Agreement on Climate Change."

Max van Deursen, TRANSGOV Researcher at Wageningen University & Research The Netherlands

3. The why and what of the key summary indicators

Climate performance can be assessed against domestic objectives as well as international commitments. But given the existing concerns and challenges in climate reporting, it is important to communicate the reported information coherently and lucidly. In order to unpack the complexity of the climate reporting requirements and summarise the climate performance of nations, **we have proposed a broad set of environmental performance indicators of common concern to both developed and developing nations to help inform experts as well as the public about the progress made and to be made.**

The suggested indicators are quantifiable and demonstrate the change in country's performance over time. They are designed to help understand the holistic progress of a nation and showcase key variables that are significant in exhibiting climate performance. In other words, the disclosure on these indicators provides evidence of **'what a country's true climate progress looks like'**. The indicators can be used by the general audience to effectively understand climate progress, assess the trends in climate actions with respect to various sectors and factors that influence the nation's climate performance, and allow a comparison of climate

performance across countries to demonstrate the real change over time. On the other hand, the experts can help in identifying the priority areas of actions, ensuring informed decision-making for desired results, and furthering efforts in mitigation.

4. Key summary indicators: Overview and usage

The indicators are broadly grouped into six categories, providing an overview of the climate actions and performance at the country level. While the broad categories offer an overall glimpse, it is also critical to gauge and track factors such as sector-wise climate measures, emission trends over the years, and climate finance provided and mobilised.

The proposed indicators encompass the factors most relevant and significant to a nation's overall climate performance. These categories not only allow us to monitor a country's progress but also understand the countries' existing capacity and change over time. The indicators are proposed as a single minimal set of indices that describes climate change performance at the country level across the years.

Table 1 highlights the indicators that could be reported in the form of a table in the Executive Summary chapter of the Biennial Transparency Report.

The proposed indicators enhance understanding of nations' climate performance and enable global comparison of climate progress over time.



Table 1 Proposed indicators to assess the climate action of countries

Aspects	Indicators	Rationale
1 Emission trend and share	1.1 Overall emissions trends (year-by-year) (mtCO ₂ eq) 1.2 Global emission share (year-by-year) (mtCO ₂ eq/percentage) 1.3 Cumulative global emission share (year-by-year) (mtCO ₂ eq/percentage)	Assessing the past emissions is directly linked to establishing the responsibility to reduce emissions currently. This category suggests indicators to learn more about the countries' past and present emissions in the context of the global emissions to ensure equity in the carbon space consumption. All Parties are encouraged to report the emissions from 1950 based on the availability of data.
2 Sectoral share of emissions	2.1 Percentage share of sector-wise emissions versus country's total emissions trend 2.2 Percentage share of sector-wise emissions versus global contribution trend 2.3 Country sector emissions according to global average (mtCO ₂ eq) trend	It is crucial to understand where the maximum amount of emissions come from. These indicators allow the nations to understand their sectoral share of emissions in the context of domestic and global emissions. It can also aid in prioritising policy planning and action with respect to the high-emitting sectors.
3 GHG emission intensity	3.1 GHG emissions per capita (CO ₂ equivalent) 3.2 GHG emissions per unit of GDP (CO ₂ equivalent)	Emission intensity combines the effect of major factors contributing to a country's emission profile in a single metric. Higher the emission intensity, higher is the amount of carbon emissions per unit of economic activity. These indicators capture the emission intensity per unit of GDP and per capita. Thus, lowering of emission intensity will help drive down aggregate emissions, thereby reducing the nation's environmental impact.
4 Sectoral efforts	4.1 Ratio of energy generated from clean technology versus total energy (percentage) 4.2 Percent share of each type of renewable energy source (solar, wind, biomass, hydropower, geothermal, green hydrogen) 4.3 Market share of electric vehicles (EVs) and hybrid vehicles across all segments (percentage) 4.4 Percentage of solid waste recycled 4.5 Emissions per hectare of cultivated land (tCO ₂ eq/hectare) 4.6 Change in forestry area (afforestation versus deforestation) (hectare) 4.7 Emission reduction by carbon capture, utilisation and storage (mtCO ₂ eq) 4.8 Status of fuel emission intensity standards	Understanding the sectoral trends and efforts undertaken by nations to reduce the emissions is critical to assessing the road map to a carbon-free future. Indicators, in this category, show how nations are responding and transitioning to the low-carbon pathways via different efforts across key sectors.
5 Finance	5.1 Share of investment across sectors (mitigation, adaptation, and others) versus total investment (in U.S. dollars [USD]) 5.2 Year-on-year mobilised amount of USD per year towards the USD 100 billion goal, domestically (USD) 5.3 Year-on-year mobilised amount of USD per year towards the USD 100 billion goal, internationally (USD) 5.4 Ratio of the amount disbursed versus committed (USD)	Relevant financial support/investments are critical to increasing domestic capacity to reduce emissions. These indicators place emphasis on the financial support provided to ensure a low-carbon economy as well as deal with the risks associated with climate change.
6 Others	6.1 Share of national population in climate-risk areas 6.2 Total number of climate-related disasters 6.3 Direct economic loss attributed to disasters in relation to global gross domestic product (USD)	The listed indicators capture the overall impact of the climate-change-induced loss.

Source: Author's analysis.

The indices proposed in Table 1 are simple and concise and therefore could be universally applied to all countries. But most importantly, reporting on these suggested indicators does not require adding to the existing capacity and is not likely to burden countries with additional reporting requirements. Most of the data points needed to assess the outcomes of the indicators are already calculated and readily available in the existing biennial reports, biennial update reports, or national inventories. Only a few sub-indicators such as calculation of the direct economic loss attributed to disasters or segments of sectoral efforts may demand rudimentary calculations.

“



Acknowledging the great complexity in climate reporting and the extensive reporting obligations, the proposed indicators can facilitate in enhancing the understanding of the general audience

on nations' individual climate performance, thus strengthening and enhancing trust, transparency, and accountability."

Joydeep Gupta,
South Asia Director, The Third Pole

While proposing these set of indices, we also acknowledge that the indicators presented here do not cover all possible measures to assess the climate performance or capture all possible climate change factors in the body of scientific literature. Instead, these indicators act as a wide-ranging set of high-quality data and **compelling evidence that represents the overview of the country's climate performance at a glance**. We earnestly hope the proposed indicators would act as an agent of positive change by delivering results based on quantitative information, going beyond simply aggregating pieces of technical information.

5. Way forward

While the Paris Agreement has established a single and comprehensive enhanced framework for transparent reporting, understanding the outcomes of the reporting obligations require some technical knowledge by the general audience. Acknowledging this complexity in the reporting guidelines, The Council proposes to include reporting on a set of indicators (by countries) in a tabular format in the executive summary of the Biennial Transparency Report. The Parties are encouraged to report on these indicators so that even the general audience can get a snapshot of a country's climate performance.

The disclosure of a country on these indicators would help in the evaluation of a country's climate progress in a balanced manner and enable global climate comparison by benchmarking the countries on climate achievement. If done right, our transparency indicators can result in a reinforcing cycle of verified action that builds trust, accountability, and confidence among governments, investors, and within the multilateral climate governance.

Our key to developing transparency indicators is to maintain a long-term vision of where an individual Party actually stands and wants to progress in terms of climate change mitigation and adaptation measures. The indices, in particular, shed light not only on what climate progress looks like now but also on identifying the areas of action for achieving the desired results, which builds trust and accountability.

The landscape of climate commitments is evolving as nations accelerate their response in light of the urgency of the climate crisis. Building trust among the public and enhancing credible climate reporting and accountability require stern requirements as well as a true depiction of these plans to drive positive action (GIZ, 2018). If followed, the indicators can play a key role in narrowing the trust gap between nations and inspire confidence and accountability in the local, domestic, and international community and thus in climate negotiations.

A failure to report transparently and coherently may undermine the ability of the governments to effectively respond to climate change. Our indicators, hence, propose a way forward to facilitate enhanced trust in climate actions and create the foundation for increased ambition.

References

- Baptista, Sandra. (2014). *Design and Use of Composite Indices in Assessments of Climate Change Vulnerability and Resilience*. U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID).
- Brooks, Nick, Simon Anderson, Jessica Ayers, Ian Burton, and Ian Tellam. (2011). *Tracking Adaptation and Measuring Development*. IIED.
- Cardona, Omar. (2007). *Indicators of Disaster Risk and Risk Management: Summary Report*. Inter-American Development Bank.
- CDKN. (2016). *Understanding the Enhanced Transparency Framework*. CDKN Global.
- Deprez, A., Spencer, T., & Colombier, M. (2015). *Transparency and the Paris Agreement: Driving Ambitious Action in the New Climate Regime*. IDDRI.
- GIZ. (2018). *National Benefits of Climate Reporting*.
- Weikmans, R., & Gupta, A. (2021). *Assessing state compliance with multilateral climate transparency requirements: ‘Transparency Adherence Indices’ and their research and policy implications*. Climate Policy.
- Pang, Yvonne et al. (2014). *Measurement, Reporting, Verification: How To Set up National MRV Systems, Draft 4.1*. GIZ. <https://transparency-partnership.net/sites/default/files/mrv-tool-20-10-2014.pdf>.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *Climate Change Indicators in the United States*. Accessed October 2021: <https://www.epa.gov/climate-indicators>.
- World Meteorological Organization (2021). *Climate Change Indicators and Impacts Worsened in 2020*. Accessed October 2021. <https://public.wmo.int/en/media/press-release/climate-change-indicators-and-impacts-worsened-2020>.

The authors



Jhalak Aggarwal

jhalak.aggarwal@ceew.in | [@jhalak_1707](#)

Jhalak is a Research Analyst at CEEW in the Climate Negotiations team. She is engaged in the development of a capacity-building tool to enhance the capacity of developing countries to report on climate change and tracks and analyses the key negotiations issues.



Shikha Bhasin

shikha.bhasin@ceew.in | [@shikha_bhasin](#)

Shikha is a Senior Programme Lead at CEEW leading the research on Climate Negotiations and Cooling. Her research revolves around enhancing access to sustainable cooling in India, as well supporting the technology and market transitions required to meet India's Kigali Amendment commitments.



Sumit Prasad

sumit.prasad@ceew.in | [@sumitprd](#)

Sumit is a Programme Associate at CEEW in the Climate Negotiations team. His current work at The Council revolves around climate negotiations, such as examining the climate action gaps; monitoring, reporting and verification (MRV) framework, along with issues pertaining to enhanced transparency framework and implementation of the Paris Agreement rulebook.

Copyright © 2022 Council on Energy, Environment and Water (CEEW).



Open access. Some rights reserved. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) license. To view the full license, visit: www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/legalcode.

Suggested citation:

Aggarwal, Jhalak, Shikha Bhasin and Sumit Prasad. 2022. *Communicating Climate Action Effectively: A Reporting Framework for Nations to Inform the Public*. New Delhi: Council on Energy, Environment, and Water.

Disclaimer:

The views expressed in this work are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the Council on Energy, Environment and Water.

Peer reviewers:

Joydeep Gupta, South Asia Director, The Third Pole; Max van Deursen, PhD researcher, TRANSGOV; Tanushree Ganguly, Programme Lead, CEEW.

Acknowledgment:

We would like to thank Max van Deursen, PhD researcher, TRANSGOV, Joydeep Gupta, South Asia Director, The Third Pole and Tanushree Ganguly, Programme Lead, CEEW for providing their detailed comments and suggestions. Their valuable inputs shaped the research piece significantly. We assume full responsibility for any errors in the document.

Publication team:

Kartikeya Jain (CEEW); Alina Sen (CEEW); The Virtual Paper; Twig Designs; and FRIENDS Digital Colour Solutions.

Organisation:

The **Council on Energy, Environment and Water** (CEEW) is one of Asia's leading not-for-profit policy research institutions. The Council uses data, integrated analysis, and strategic outreach to explain – and change – the use, reuse, and misuse of resources. It prides itself on the independence of its high-quality research, develops partnerships with public and private institutions, and engages with wider public. In 2021, CEEW once again featured extensively across ten categories in the *2020 Global Go To Think Tank Index Report*. The Council has also been consistently ranked among the world's top climate change think tanks. CEEW is certified as a Great Place To Work®. Follow us on Twitter [@CEEWIndia](#) for the latest updates.

COUNCIL ON ENERGY, ENVIRONMENT AND WATER (CEEW)

ISID Complex,
4, Vasant Kunj Institutional Area,
New Delhi 110070, India

info@ceew.in | ceew.in | [@CEEWIndia](#) | [ceewindia](#)